

# Job Search Models

Jesús Fernández-Villaverde  
Duke University

## Motivation

- We want to have dynamic models of the job market.
- Example of questions we are interested in.
- Lucas: “theoretical sterility of involuntary unemployment.”
- Prescott: “unemployment is a state of mind.”
- Equilibrium models of unemployment.

## Search Models

- We will begin with a simple model of job search.
- Matching is costly. Think about getting a date.
- We can bring our intuition to the job market. Why?
- Useful to illustrate many ideas and for policy analysis.
- Contributions of:
  1. Stigler (1961).
  2. McCall (1970).
- Static problem versus sequential.

## Stigler's Model

- Risk-neutral agent.
- Easier to think as an agent asking for bids.
- Samples offers i.i.d. from  $F(w)$ .
- Decide ex-ante how many offers  $n$  she is going to ask for.
- Each offer has a cost  $c$ .

## Optimal Number of Offers I

- Remember that:

$$M_n = \mathbb{E} \min (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^n dw$$

- Then, gain of additional offer is:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= M_{n-1} - M_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} dw - \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^n dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} dw - \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} (1 - F(w)) dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty (1 - F(w))^{n-1} F(w) dw \end{aligned}$$

## Optimal Number of Offers II

- Then  $G_n$  is a decreasing function with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n = 0$ .
- Optimal rule: set  $n$  such that

$$G_n \geq c > G_{n+1}$$

- Basic problem of static decisions: What if I get the lowest possible price in my first offer?

## McCall's Model

- An agent searches for a job, taking market conditions as given.
- Preferences:

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t x_t$$

where

$$x_t = \begin{cases} = w & \text{if employed} \\ = z & \text{if unemployed} \end{cases}$$

- Interpretation of  $w$  and  $z$ .

## Job Offers

- An unemployed agent gets every period one offer i.i.d. from  $F(w)$ .
- Offer can be rejected (unemployed next period) or accepted.
- No recall of offers (no restrictive because of stationarity of the problem).
- Job last forever (neither quitting nor firing).

## Bellman Equations

- Value function of employed agent:

$$W(w) = w + \beta W(w)$$

Clearly:  $W(w) = \frac{w}{1-\beta}$ .

- Value function of unemployed agent:

$$U = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max \{U, W(w)\} dF(w)$$

Then:

$$U = z + \beta \int_0^{\infty} \max \left\{ U, \frac{w}{1-\beta} \right\} dF(w)$$

## Reservation Wage

- There exist a reservation wage  $w_R$

$$W(w_R) = U = \frac{w_R}{1 - \beta}$$

such that if  $w \geq w_R$  the worker should accept the offer and reject otherwise.

- Then:

$$w_R = T(w_R) = (1 - \beta)z + \beta \int_0^\infty \max\{w_R, w\} dF(w)$$

that is a contraction (i.e.  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T^N(w_0) = w_R$  and  $w_R$  is unique).

## Characterizing Strategy I

Note:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{w_R}{1-\beta} &= z + \beta \int_0^\infty \max \left\{ \frac{w_R}{1-\beta}, \frac{w}{1-\beta} \right\} dF(w) \Rightarrow \\ &\int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \int_{w_R}^\infty \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) = \\ &= z + \beta \int_0^{w_R} \frac{w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w) + \beta \int_{w_R}^\infty \frac{w}{1-\beta} dF(w) \Rightarrow \\ &w_R \int_0^{w_R} dF(w) - z = \beta \int_{w_R}^\infty \frac{\beta w - w_R}{1-\beta} dF(w)\end{aligned}$$

## Characterizing Strategy II

- Adding  $w_R \int_{w_R}^{\infty} dF(w)$  to both sides:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

- Interpretation

$$\underbrace{w_R - z}_{\text{Cost of Search one more time}} = \underbrace{\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w)}_{\text{Expected Gain of one more search}}$$

- Sequential nature of the problem.
- Integrating by parts  $\int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) = \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$  and then:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

## Characterizing Strategy III

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}w_R - z &= \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{w_R}^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\&\quad - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\&= \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{\infty} (w - w_R) dF(w) - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\&= \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{\infty} w dF(w) - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} w_R - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \\&= \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \mathbb{E}w - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} w_R - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w)\end{aligned}$$

## Characterizing Strategy IV

- Now:

$$w_R - z = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \mathbb{E}w - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} w_R - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \Rightarrow$$

$$(1 - \beta)(w_R - z) = \beta \mathbb{E}w - \beta w_R - \beta \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) \Rightarrow$$

$$w_R - z = \beta (\mathbb{E}w - z) - \beta \int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$

- Integrating by parts  $\int_0^{w_R} (w - w_R) dF(w) = - \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw$  and then:

$$w_R - z = \beta (\mathbb{E}w - z) + \beta \int_0^{w_R} F(w) dw$$

## Comparative Statics

Factors that affect search strategy:

1. Value of unemployment  $z$ .

Unemployment Insurance: Length and generosity of unemployment insurance vary greatly across countries. US replacement rate is 34%. Germany, France and Italy the replacement rate is about 67%, with duration well beyond the first year of unemployment.

2. Distribution of offers.

Minimum Wages: If the minimum wage is so high that it makes certain jobs unprofitable, less jobs are offered and job finding rates decline.

## Problems of the Model

Rothschild (1973): Where does the distribution  $F(w)$  come from?

Diamond (1971): Why is the distribution not degenerate?

### Answers

1. Exogenously given: different productivity opportunities.
2. Endogenous: Lucas and Prescott model of islands economy.

## Lucas and Prescott (1974)

- Continuum of workers.
- Workers are risk adverse (or there are complete markets).
- A large number of separated labor markets (Islands).
- There is a firm in each island subject to productivity shocks.
- Wage is determined competitively in each island.

## Firms

- Each island has an aggregate production function:

$$\theta f(n)$$

where  $\theta$  is a productivity shock,  $n$  is labor, and  $f$  has decreasing returns to scale.

- $\theta$  evolve according to kernel  $\pi(\theta, \theta')$ .
- There is a stationary distribution of  $\theta$ .

## Worker

- At the beginning of the period, worker observes:
  1. Productivity  $\theta$ .
  2. Amount of worker on the island  $x$ .
  3. Distribution of islands in the economy  $\Psi(\theta, x)$ .
- They decide whether or not to move:
  1. If it stays, workers will get wage  $w(\theta, x)$ .
  2. If it moves, it does not work this period and picks which island to move to.

## Equilibrium within the Island

- Firms maximize:

$$w(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x))$$

- Markets clear:

$$n(\theta, x) \leq x$$

## Value Function for the Worker

- The Bellman equation for the worker is given by:

$$v(\theta, x) = \max \left\{ \beta v_u, w(\theta, x) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \right\}$$

where  $v_u$  is the value of search.

- Three cases:
  1.  $v(\theta, x) = \beta v_u$ : some workers are leaving the market.
  2.  $v(\theta, x) > \beta v_u$ : no worker is leaving the market. Some may or may not arrive.
  3.  $v(\theta, x) < \beta v_u$ : cannot happen.

## Case 2

- No worker is leaving but some workers are arriving:

$$v_u = \int v(\theta', x') d\theta$$

Thus:

$$v(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta v_u.$$

- No worker is leaving and no workers are arriving:

$$v(\theta, x) = \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \leq \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta v_u$$

## A New Expression

- Putting all these cases together:

$$v(\theta, x) = \max \left\{ \beta v_u, \theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \min \left\{ \beta v_u, \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta \right\} \right\}$$

- Functional equation on  $v(\theta, x)$ .
- Unique solution.

## Evolution of the Labor Force

- Some agents leave the market. Then  $x' = n(\theta, x)$  solves:

$$\theta f'(n(\theta, x)) + \beta \int v(\theta', x') d\theta = \beta v_u$$

- No worker is leaving but some will arrive next period. Then  $x'$  solves:

$$\int v(\theta', x') d\theta = v_u$$

- No worker is leaving and no workers will arrive next period. Then:

$$x' = x$$

## Stationary Distribution

- The evolution of  $(\theta, x)$  is then governed by a function  $\Gamma(\theta', x' | \theta, x)$  that embodies the equations above.

- Then the stationary distribution solves:

$$\Psi(\theta, x) = \int \Gamma(\theta', x' | \theta, x) \Psi(\theta, x) d\theta$$

- From the stationary distribution we can find  $v_u$ .

## Álvarez and Veracierto (1999)

- Now, instead of going to their favorite island, unemployed workers search for a new job randomly.
- Every period they find one island from distribution  $\Psi(\theta, x)$ .
- They decide whether to accept it or reject it.
- Endogenous distribution of wage offers.